

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,721	01/17/2006	Kenji Itoh	Q92721	1599
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/10/2007 ION PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			YEE, DEBORAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
		•		
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/564,721	ITOH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Deborah Yee	1742			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exten after - If NO - Failur Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) <u>□</u> 3) <u>□</u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	_ action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ -7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application	on Papers					
10)🛚 -	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 17 January 2006 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the correction to drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
			•			
2) Notice 3) Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 1-17-06;3-13-06;4-13-06;5-7-07	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/564,721 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3 to 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,435,226 (hereinafter Neuhauser), the English abstract of Soviet Union patent 1749294 (hereinafter SU'294), the English abstract of Soviet Union patent 1581770 (hereinafter SU'770), and the English abstract of Soviet Union patent 1678891 (hereinafter SU'891).
- 3. The abstract of each reference teaches a cast iron alloy having a composition with Mo, and Sn and/or Sb in wt% ranges that overlap those recited by the claims; such overlap renders applicant's composition prima facie obvious since it would be obvious for one skilled in the art to select the claimed alloy wt% ranges over the broader disclosure of the prior art since the prior art teaches similar utility and properties (structural machine components with high temperature resistance and high strength), see MPEP 2144.05.
- 4. In regard to claim 3, Neuhauser teaches up to 0.15%N and SU'294 teaches 0.04 to 0.11% which overlap with the recited N range of 0.3% or less.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,721

Art Unit: 1742

5. Moreover, prior art alloy would be expected to exhibit the properties recited by claims 4 to 6 since compositional limitations are closely met, and in absence of proof to the contrary.

Page 3

- 6. Claims 1 to 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 363114936 (hereinafter JP'936).
- 7. The English abstract of JP'936 discloses a cast iron alloy having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims; such overlap renders applicant's composition prima facie obvious since it would be obvious for one skilled in the art to select the claimed alloy wt% ranges over the broader disclosure of the prior art since the prior art teaches similar utility and properties (structural machine components with high temperature resistance and high strength), see MPEP 2144.05.
- 8. Moreover, even though JP'936 does not contain N, such would not be a patentable difference since claim 3 recites a N range of 0.3% or less which has a lower limit of zero.
- 9. Also similar to present invention, JP'936 teaches a low coefficient of thermal expansion and adds large amounts of Ni (30-40 wt%) so as to spheroidize the graphite. Although the specific properties recited by claims 4 to 6 are not taught by prior art, such would be expected since compositional limitations are closely met, and in absence of proof to the contrary.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 6:00am-2:30pm.

Art Unit: 1742

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Deboran Yee
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1742

DY